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KEY FINDINGS

- Human settlements occupy almost 5% of the North American land area.
- There is currently insufficient information to determine the complete carbon balance of human settlements in North America. Fossil fuel emissions, however, very likely dominate carbon fluxes from settlements.
- An estimated 410 to 1679 Mt C are currently stored in the urban tree component of North American settlements. The growth of urban trees in North America produces a sink of approximately 16 to 49 Mt C yr\(^{-1}\), which is 1 to 3% of the fossil fuel emissions from North America in 2003.
- Estimates of historical trends of the net carbon balance of North American settlements are not available. Fossil fuel emissions have likely gone up with the growth of urban lands but the net balance of carbon loss during conversion of natural to urban or suburban land cover and subsequent sequestration in lawns and urban trees is highly uncertain.
- The density and development patterns of human settlements are drivers of fossil fuel emissions, especially in the residential and transportation sectors. Biological carbon gains and losses are influenced by type of predevelopment land cover, post-development urban design and landscaping choices, soil and landscape management practices, and the time since land conversion.
- Projections of future trends in the net carbon balance of North American settlements are not available. However, the projected expansion of urban areas in North America will strongly impact the future North American carbon cycle as human settlements affect (1) the direct emission of CO\(_2\) from fossil fuel combustion, (2) alter plant and soil carbon cycling in converting wild lands to residential and urban land cover.
- A number of municipalities in Canada, Mexico, and the U.S. have made commitments to voluntary GHG emission reductions under the Cities for Climate Protection program of International Governments for Local Sustainability [formerly the International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI)]. Reductions have in some cases been associated with improvements in air quality.
Research is needed to improve comprehensive carbon inventories for settled areas, to improve understanding of how development processes relate to driving forces for the carbon cycle, and to improve linkages between understandings of human and environmental systems in settled areas.

Activities in human settlements form the basis for much of North America’s contribution to global CO₂ emissions. Settlements such as cities, towns, and suburbs vary widely in density, form, and distribution. Urban settlements, as they have been defined by the census bureaus of the United States, Canada, and Mexico, make up approximately 75 to 80% of the population of the continent, and this proportion is projected to continue to increase (United Nations, 2004). The density and forms of new development will strongly impact the future trajectory of the North American carbon cycle as human settlements affect the carbon cycle by (1) direct emission of CO₂ from fossil fuel combustion, (2) alterations to plant and soil carbon cycles in conversion of wildlands to residential and urban land cover, and (3) indirect effects of residential and urban land cover on energy use and ecosystem carbon cycling.

CARBON INVENTORIES OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS

Conversion of agricultural and wildlands to settlements of varying densities is occurring at a rapid rate in North America, faster, in fact, than the rate of population growth. For example, according to U.S. Census Bureau estimates, urban land in the coterminous United States increased by 20% in the 1990s (Nowak et al., 2005) while the population increased by 13%. Given these trends, it is important to determine the carbon balance of different types of settlements and how future urban policy and planning may impact the magnitude of CO₂ sources and sinks at regional, continental, and global scales. However, unlike many other types of common land cover, complete carbon inventories including fossil fuel emissions and biological sources and sinks of carbon have been conducted only rarely for settlements as a whole. Assessing the carbon balance of settlements is challenging, as they are characterized by large CO₂ emissions from fuel combustion and decomposition of organic waste as well as transformations to vegetation and soil that affect carbon sources and sinks.

Determining the extent of human settlements across North America also presents a challenge, as definitions of “developed,” “built-up,” and “urban” land vary greatly, particularly among nations. The U.S., Canadian, and Mexican census definitions are not consistent; in addition, several other classification schemes for defining and mapping settlements have been developed, such as the U.S. Department of Agriculture’s National Resource Inventory categorization of developed land, which uses a variety of methods based on satellite imagery. One method of classifying settled land cover that has been consistently applied at a continental scale is the Global Rural-Urban Mapping Project conducted by a
consortium of institutions, including Columbia University and the World Bank (CIESIN et al., 2004). This estimate, which is based on nighttime lights satellite imagery, is 1,039,450 km², almost 5% of the total continental land area (Fig. 14-1).

Fig. 14-1. North America urban extents.

Currently, there is insufficient information to determine the complete current or historical carbon balance of total continental land area. Fossil fuel emissions very likely dominate carbon fluxes from settlements, just as settlement-related emissions likely dominate total fossil fuel consumption in North America. However, specific estimates of the proportion of total fossil fuel emissions directly attributable to settlements are difficult to make given current inventory methods, which are often conducted on a state or province-wide basis. In addition, the biological component of the carbon balance of settlements is highly uncertain, particularly with regard to the influence of urbanization on soil carbon pools and biogenic greenhouse gas emissions.

For the urban tree component of the settlement carbon balance, carbon stocks and sequestration have been estimated for urban land cover (as defined by the U.S. Census Bureau) in the coterminous United States to be on the order of 700 Mt (335–980 Mt C) with sequestration rates of 22.8 Mt C yr⁻¹ (13.7–25.9 Mt C yr⁻¹) (Nowak and Crane, 2002). These estimates encompass a great deal of regional variability and contain some uncertainty about differences in carbon allocation between urban and natural trees, as urban trees have been less studied. However, to a first approximation, these estimates can be used to infer a probable range of urban tree carbon stocks and gross sequestration on a continental basis. Nowak and Crane (2002) estimated that urban tree carbon storage in the Canadian border states (excluding semi-arid Montana, Idaho, and North Dakota) ranged from 24 to 45 t C ha⁻¹, and carbon sequestration ranged from 0.8 to 1.5 t C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. Applying these values to a range of estimates of the extent of urban land in Canada (28,045 km² from the 1996 Canadian Census and 131,560 km² from CIESIN et al., 2004), Canadian urban forest carbon stocks are between 67 and 592 Mt while carbon sequestration rates are between 2.2 and 19.7 Mt C yr⁻¹. Similarly, for Mexico, Nowak and Crane (2002) estimated that urban carbon storage and sequestration in the U.S. southwestern states varied from 4.4 to 10.5 t ha⁻¹ and 0.1 to 0.3 t ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, respectively, leading to estimates of 10 to 107 Mt C stored in urban trees in Mexico and 0.2 to 3.1 Mt C yr⁻¹ sequestered. Estimates of historical trends are not available.

While complete national or continental-scale estimates of the carbon budget of settlements including fossil fuels, vegetation, and soils are not available, several methods are available to assess the full carbon balance of individual settlements and can be applied in the next several years toward constructing larger-scale inventories. Atmospheric measurements can be used to determine the net losses of carbon from
settlements and urbanizing regions (Grimmond et al., 2002; Grimmond et al., 2004; Nemitz et al., 2002; Soegaard and Moller-Jensen, 2003). Specific sources of CO₂ can be determined from unique isotopic signatures (Pataki et al., 2003; Pataki et al., 2006b) and from the relationship between CO₂ and carbon monoxide (Lin et al., 2004). Many of these techniques have been commonly applied to natural ecosystems and may be easily adapted for settled regions. In addition, there have been several attempts to quantify the “metabolism” of human settlements in terms of their inputs and outputs of energy, materials, and wastes (Decker et al., 2000) and the “footprint” of settlements in terms of the land area required to supply their consumption of resources and to offset CO₂ emissions (Folke et al., 1997). Often these calculations include local flows and transformations of materials as well as upstream energy use and carbon appropriation, such as remote electrical power generation and food production.

To conduct metabolic and footprint analyses of specific settlements, energy and fuel use statistics are needed for individual municipalities, and these data are seldom made available at that scale. Consequently, metabolic and footprint analyses of carbon flows and conversions associated with metropolitan regions have been conducted for a relatively small number of cities. A metabolic analysis of the Toronto metropolitan region showed per capita net CO₂ emissions of 14 t CO₂ yr⁻¹ (Sahely et al., 2003), higher than analyses of other large metropolitan areas in developed countries (Newman, 1999; Pataki et al., 2006a; Warren-Rhodes and Koenig, 2001). In contrast, an analysis of Mexico City estimated per capita CO₂ emissions of 3.4 t CO₂ yr⁻¹ (Romero Lankao et al., 2004). Local emissions inventories can provide useful supplements to national and global inventories in order to ensure that emissions reductions policies are applied effectively and equitably (Easterling et al., 2003).

Current projections for urban land development in North America highlight the importance of improving carbon inventories of settlements and assessing patterns and impacts of future urban and rural development. Projections for increases in the extent of developed land cover in the United States in the next 25 years are as high as 79%, which would increase the proportion of developed land from 5.2% to 9.2% of total land cover (Alig et al., 2004). The potential consequences of this increase for the carbon cycle are significant in terms of CO₂ emissions from an expanded housing stock and transportation network as well as from conversion of agricultural land, forest, rangeland, and other ecosystems to urban land cover. Because the dynamics of carbon cycling in settled areas encompass a range of physical, biological, social, and economic processes, studies of the potential impacts of future development on the carbon cycle must be interdisciplinary. Large-scale research on what has been called the study “of cities as ecosystems” (Pickett et al., 2001) has begun only relatively recently, pioneered by interdisciplinary studies such as the National Science Foundation’s Long-Term Ecological Research sites in the central Arizona-Phoenix area and in Baltimore (Grimm et al., 2000). Although there is not yet sufficient data to construct a complete carbon inventory of settlements across North America, it is a feasible research goal.
to do so in the next several years if additional studies in individual municipalities are conducted in a variety of urbanizing regions.

**TRENDS AND DRIVERS**

Drivers of change in the carbon cycle associated with human settlements include (1) factors that influence the rate of land conversion and urbanization, such as population growth and density, household size, economic growth, and transportation infrastructure; (2) additional factors that influence fossil fuel emissions, such as climate, residence and building characteristics, transit choices, and affluence; and (3) factors that influence biological carbon gains and losses, including the type of predevelopment land cover, post-development urban design and landscaping choices, soil and landscape management practices, and the time since land conversion.

**Fossil Fuel Emissions**

The density and patterns of development of human settlements (i.e., their “form”) are drivers of the magnitude of the fossil fuel emissions component of the carbon cycle. The size and number of residences and households influence CO₂ emissions from the residential sector, and the spatial distribution of residences, commercial districts, and transportation networks is a key influence in the vehicular and transportation sectors. Many of the attributes of urban form that influence the magnitude of fossil fuel emissions are linked to historical patterns of economic development, which have differed in Canada, the United States, and Mexico. The future trajectory of development and associated levels of affluence and technological and social change will strongly influence key aspects of urban form such as residence size, vehicle miles traveled, and investment in urban infrastructure, along with associated fossil fuel emissions. Whereas emissions from the transportation and residential sectors are discussed in detail in Chapters 7 and 9, respectively, this chapter discusses specific aspects of the form of human settlements that affect the current continental carbon balance and its possible future trajectories.

Household size in terms of the number of occupants per household has been declining in North America (Table 14-1) while the average size of new residences has been increasing. For example, the average size of new, single family homes in the United States increased from 139 m² (1500 ft²) to more than 214 m² (2300 ft²) between 1970 and 2004 (NAHB, 2005). These trends have contributed to increases in per capita CO₂ emissions from the residential sector as well as increases in the consumption of land for residential and urban development (Alig et al., 2003; Ironmonger et al., 1995; Liu et al., 2003; MacKellar et al., 1995). In addition, when considering total emissions from settlements, the trajectory of the transportation and residential sectors may be linked. There have been a number of qualitative discussions of the role of “urban sprawl” in influencing fossil fuel and pollutant emissions from cities (CEC, 2001;
Gonzalez, 2005), although definitions of urban sprawl vary (Ewing et al., 2003). Quantitative linkages between urban form and energy use have been attempted by comparing datasets for a variety of cities, but the results have been difficult to interpret due to the large number of factors that may affect transportation patterns and energy consumption (Anderson et al., 1996). For example, in a seminal analysis of data from a variety of cities, Kenworthy and Newman (1990) found a negative correlation between population density and per capita energy use in the transportation sector. However, their data have been reanalyzed and reinterpreted in a number of subsequent studies that have highlighted other important driving variables, such as income levels, employment density, and transit choice (Gomez-Ibanez, 1991; Gordon and Richardson, 1989; Mindali et al., 2004).

Table 14-1. Increases in number of households and the total population of the United States, Canada, and Mexico between 1985 and 2000. (United Nations, 2002; United Nations Habitat, 2003).

Quantifying the nature and extent of the linkage between development patterns of human settlements and greenhouse gas emissions is critical from the perspective of evaluating the potential impacts of land use policy. One way forward is to further the application of integrated land use and transportation models that have been developed to analyze future patterns of urban development in a variety of cities (Agarwal et al., 2000; EPA, 2000; Hunt et al., 2005). Only a handful have been applied to date for generating fossil fuel emissions scenarios from individual metropolitan areas (Jaccard et al., 1997; Pataki et al., 2006a), such that larger-scale national or continental projections for human settlements are not currently available. However, there is potential to add a carbon cycle component to these models that would assess the linkages between land use and land cover change, residential and commercial energy use and emissions, emissions from the transportation sector, and net carbon gains and losses in biological sinks following land conversion. A critical feature of these models is that they may be used to evaluate future scenarios and the potential impacts of policies to influence land use patterns and transportation networks in individual settlements and developing regions.

Vegetation and Soils in Human Settlements

Human settlements contain vegetation and soils that are often overlooked in national inventories, as they fall outside common classification schemes. Nevertheless, patterns of development affect the carbon balance of biological systems, both in the replacement of natural ecosystems with rural, residential, or urban land cover and in processes within settlements that affect constructed and managed land cover. In the United States, satellite data and ecosystem modeling for the mid-1990s suggested that urbanization...
occurred largely on productive agricultural land and therefore caused a net loss of carbon fixed by
photosynthesis of 40 Mt C yr\(^{-1}\) (Imhoff \textit{et al.}, 2004).

Urban forests and vegetation sequester carbon directly as described under carbon inventories. In
addition, urban trees influence the carbon balance of municipalities indirectly through their effects on
energy use. Depending on their placement relative to buildings, trees may cause shading and windbreak
effects, as well as evaporative cooling due to transpiration (Akbari, 2002; Oke, 1989; Taha, 1997). These
effects have been estimated in a variety of studies, mostly involving model calculations that suggest that
urban trees generally result in net reductions in energy use (Akbari, 2002; Akbari and Konopacki, 2005;
Akbari \textit{et al.}, 1997; Akbari and Taha, 1992; Huang \textit{et al.}, 1987). Taking into account CO\(_2\) emissions
resulting from tree maintenance and decomposition of removed trees, “avoided” emissions from energy
savings were responsible for approximately half of the total net reduction in CO\(_2\) emissions from seven
municipal urban forests, with the remainder attributable to direct sequestration of CO\(_2\) (McPherson \textit{et al.},
2005). Direct measurements of the components of urban energy balance that quantify the contribution of
vegetation are needed to validate these estimates.

Like natural ecosystems, soils in human settlements contain carbon, although rates of sequestration
are much more uncertain in urban soils than in natural soils. In general, soil carbon is generally lost
following disturbances associated with conversion from natural to urban or suburban land cover (Pouyat
\textit{et al.}, 2002). Soil carbon pools may subsequently increase at varying rates, depending on the soil and land
cover type, local climate, and management intensity (Golubiewski, 2006; Pouyat \textit{et al.}, 2002; Qian and
Follet, 2002). In ecosystems with low rates of carbon sequestration in native soil such as arid and
semiarid ecosystems, conversion to highly managed, settled land cover can result in higher rates of carbon
sequestration and storage than pre-settlement due to large inputs of water, fertilizer, and organic matter
(Golubiewski, 2006). Pouyat \textit{et al.} (2006) used urban soil organic carbon measurements to estimate the
total above- and below-ground carbon storage, including soil carbon, in U.S. urban land cover to be 2,640
Mt (1,890 to 3,300 Mt). This range does not include the uncertainty in classifying urban land cover, but
applies the range of uncertainty in aboveground urban carbon stocks reported in Nowak and Crane (2002)
and the standard deviation of urban soil carbon densities reported in Pouyat \textit{et al.} (2006). In addition,
irrigated and fertilized urban soils have been associated with higher emissions of CO\(_2\) and the potent
greenhouse gas N\(_2\)O relative to natural soils, offsetting some potential gains of sequestering carbon in
urban soils (Kaye \textit{et al.}, 2004; Kaye \textit{et al.}, 2005; Koerner and Klopatek, 2002). Finally, full carbon
accounting that incorporates fossil fuel emissions associated with soil management (e.g., irrigation and
fertilizer production and transport) has not yet been conducted. In general, additional data on soil carbon
balance in human settlements are required to assess the potential for managing urban and residential soils
for carbon sequestration.
OPTIONS FOR MANAGEMENT

A number of municipalities in Canada, the United States, and Mexico have committed to voluntary programs of greenhouse gas emissions reductions. Under the Cities for Climate Protection program (CCP) of International Governments for Local Sustainability (ICLEI, formerly the International Council of Local Environmental Initiatives) 269 towns, cities, and counties in North America have committed to conducting emissions inventories, establishing a target for reductions, and monitoring the results of reductions initiatives (the current count of the number of municipalities participating in voluntary greenhouse gas reduction programs may be found on-line at http://www.iclei.org). Emissions reductions targets vary by municipality, as do the scope of reductions, which may apply to the municipality as a whole or only to government operations (i.e., emissions related to operation of government-owned buildings, facilities, and vehicle fleets).

Kousky and Schneider (2003) interviewed representatives from 23 participating CCP municipalities in the United States who indicated that cost savings and other co-benefits of greenhouse gas reductions in cities and towns were the most commonly cited reasons for participating in voluntary greenhouse gas reductions programs. Potential cost savings include reductions in energy and fuel costs from energy efficiency programs in buildings, street lights, and traffic lights; energy co-generation in landfills and sewage treatment plants; mass transit programs; and replacement of municipal vehicles and buses with alternative fuel or hybrid vehicles (ICLEI, 1993; 2000). Other perceived co-benefits include reductions in emissions of particulate and oxidant pollutants, alleviation of traffic congestion, and availability of lower-income housing in efforts to curb urban sprawl. These co-benefits are often “perceived” because many municipalities have not attempted to quantify them as part of their emissions reductions programs (Kousky and Schneider, 2003); however, it has been suggested that they play a key role in efforts to promote reductions of municipal-scale greenhouse gas emissions because local constituents regard them as an issue of interest (Betsill, 2001).

Of the co-benefits of municipal programs to reduce CO₂ emissions, improvements in air quality are perhaps the most well studied. Cifuentes (2001) analyzed the benefits of reductions in atmospheric particulate matter measuring less than 10 μm in diameter (PM10) and ozone concentrations in four cities in North and South America. Using a greenhouse gas reduction of 13% of 2000 levels by 2020 from energy efficiency and fuel substitution programs, Cifuentes (2001) estimated that PM10 and ozone concentrations would decline by 10% of 2000 levels. Estimated health benefits from such a reduction included avoidance of 64,000 (18,000–116,000) premature deaths associated with air quality-related health problems as well as avoidance of 91,000 (28,000–153,000) hospital admissions and 787,000 (136,000–1,430,000) emergency room visits. However, using calculations for co-control of CO₂ and air pollutants...
in Mexico City, West et al. (2004) found that in practice, if electrical energy is primarily generated in remote locations relative to the urban area, cost-effective energy efficiency programs may have a relatively small effect on air quality. In that case, options for reducing greenhouse gas emissions would have to be implemented primarily in the transportation sector to appreciably affect air quality.

**RESEARCH NEEDS**

Additional studies of the carbon balance of settlements of varying densities, geographical location, and patterns of development are needed to quantify the potential impacts of various policy and planning alternatives on net greenhouse gas emissions. While it may seem intuitive that policies to curb urban sprawl or enhance tree planting programs will result in emissions reductions, different aspects of urban form (e.g., housing density, availability of public transportation, type and location of forest cover) may have different net effects on carbon sources and sinks, depending on the location, affluence, economy, and geography of various settlements. It is possible to develop quantitative tools to take many of these factors into account. To facilitate development and application of integrated urban carbon cycle models and to extrapolate local studies to regional, national, and continental scales, useful additional data include:

- common land cover classifications appropriate for characterizing a variety of human settlements across North America,
- emissions inventories at small spatial scales such as individual neighborhoods and municipalities,
- expansion of the national carbon inventory and flux measurement networks to include land cover types within human settlements,
- comparative studies of processes and drivers of development in varying regions and nations, and
- interdisciplinary studies of land use change that evaluate socioeconomic as well as biophysical drivers of carbon sources and sinks.

In general, there has been a focus in carbon cycle science on measuring carbon stocks and fluxes in natural ecosystems, and consequently highly managed and human-dominated systems such as settlements have been underrepresented in many regional and national inventories. To assess the full carbon balance of settlements ranging from rural developments to large cities, a wide range of measurement techniques and scientific, economic, and social science disciplines are required to understand the dynamics of urban expansion, transportation, economic development, and biological sources and sinks. An advantage to an interdisciplinary focus on the study of human settlements from a carbon cycle perspective is that human activities and biological impacts in and surrounding settled areas encompass many aspects of perturbations to atmospheric CO₂, including a large proportion of national CO₂ emissions and changes in carbon sinks resulting from land use change.
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Table 14-1. Increases in number of households and the total population of the United States, Canada, and Mexico between 1985 and 2000. (United Nations, 2002; United Nations Habitat, 2003).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total population (%)</th>
<th>Households (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 14-1. North America urban extents.